GASTROENTEROLOGY

UNMET NEEDS IN PPI THERAPY FOR GERD

GERD: Current Challenges in Control David Armstrong, MD

McMaster University Hamilton, ON

Nighttime GERD: Implications for Clinical Practice

Marc Bradette, MD

Pavillon Hôtel-Dieu de Québec Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Québec Québec, QC

GERD : The Era of PPIs

Brian Bressler, MD

University of British Columbia Vancouver, BC



www.TheMedicalXchange.com

UNMET NEEDS IN PPI THERAPY FOR GERD

GERD: Current Challenges in Control

David Armstrong, MA, MB BChir, FRCP(UK), FACG, AGAF, FRCPC

Associate Professor, Division of Gastroenterology McMaster University Hamilton, Ontario

Nighttime GERD : Implications for Clinical Practice

Marc Bradette, MD, FRCP

Chief of Service, Department of Gastroenterology Pavillon Hôtel-Dieu de Québec Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Québec Québec, Québec

GERD : The Era of PPIs

Brian Bressler, MD, M. S., FRCPC

Clinical Assistant Professor of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology University of British Columbia Vancouver, British Columbia

The Medical XChange[™] offers continuing medical education activities for healthcare professionals. Our services include eLearning CME programs and medical news reports from conferences presented at sanctioned medical meetings around the world or articles published in peer-reviewed medical journals.

The information and opinions expressed herein are those of the participants and do not necessarily reflect those of Xfacto Communications Inc. or the sponsor. The distribution of this Clinical Summary was made possible through industry support under written agreement that ensures editorial independence. The content is for educational purposes and should not be taken as an endorsement of any products, uses or doses. Physicians should consult the appropriate monograph before prescribing any drugs. Distribution, reproduction, alteration of this program is strictly prohibited without written consent of Xfacto Communications Inc. Copyright 2011. All rights reserved.

This Clinical Summary and related slides are available at www.TheMedicalXchange.com.

Guest Editor

David Armstrong, MA, MB BChir, FRCP(UK) FACG, AGAF, FRCPC

Associate Professor, Division of Gastroenterology McMaster University Hamilton, Ontario

UNMET NEEDS IN PPI THERAPY FOR GERD GERD: Current Challenges in Control

The reasons that patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) fail to achieve adequate symptom relief with proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) have proven surprisingly complex. While high rates of healing are achieved in patients with erosive esophagitis on conventional once-daily doses of PPIs, the proportion of patients with persistent symptoms despite healing is substantial. Relative to those with esophagitis, the proportion of patients with inadequate symptom control is even higher in patients with endoscopy-negative GERD (NERD). The large body of research exploring the relationship of acid- and non-acid reflux to symptoms of GERD has expanded the understanding of pathophysiological mechanisms. Insights from this research are guiding strategies with the potential to address deficiencies of current therapies. In essence, GERD has proven to be a heterogeneous entity with contributing etiological factors not limited to excess gastric acid secretion. A systematic approach toward understanding the key mechanisms of symptom genesis may improve treatment success.

Definition and Epidemiology

Gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is a product of the reflux of gastric contents into the esophagus. As most individuals have occasional episodes of reflux, the threshold at which these episodes become pathologic is when the associated symptoms are troublesome.⁽¹⁾ This patient-centered definition recognizes that GERD is a symptom-based disease even if complications can include erosive esophagitis, Barrett's esophagus, and extraesophageal symptoms such as laryngitis and chronic cough. By definition, GERD requires retrograde movement of gastric contents but it does not exclude the co-existence of other conditions affecting the upper gastrointestinal (GI) tract; furthermore, reflux may be associated with symptoms other than those considered typical of GERD. In a Canadian study of dyspepsia that excluded patients whose symptom description was limited to complaints of heartburn or regurgitation, 54.7% were found to have esophagitis when endoscopy was performed.^[2] Even though a large proportion of these patients reported heartburn or regurgitation within their constellation of symptoms, this study reinforces the notion that GERD is common in uninvestigated dyspepsia patients who have symptoms that are not limited to heartburn.

When extrapolated to Canada, incidence rates of approximately 5 per 1000 patient years in the United States (US) and United Kingdom (UK), predict about 170,000 new diagnoses of GERD per year.^[3] Although a recent review of population-based studies was unable to corroborate a previous assertion that the incidence of GERD increases with age, it did suggest that older patients are more likely to develop erosive esophagitis.^[4] That analysis also concluded that GERD symptoms become less severe and less characteristic with age. Due to the heterogeneity of GERD symptom expression, these findings are potentially relevant to clinicians delivering care in countries, like Canada, with a growing proportion of the population older than age 60 years.

Despite recent attention to the role of non-acid or weakly acidic reflux as a source of GERD symptoms,⁽⁵⁾ acid control remains the fundamental principle of therapy. Response to acid control is so characteristic of GERD, that a trial of antisecretory therapy, particularly a proton pump inhibitor (PPI), has been defined by the Canadian Association of Gastroenterology (CAG) as a positive diagnostic test.^[6] However, failure to respond to a PPI, particularly if prescribed once daily, does not rule out GERD. Although lack of response should prompt efforts to identify an alternative cause of symptoms, a diagnosis of refractory GERD can be considered if twice-daily PPI therapy does not adequately relieve symptoms and if no alternative etiology can be identified.^[3]

www.TheMedicalXchange.com

There is a substantial proportion of patients with GERD who fail conventional, once-daily PPI therapy

when symptom control is the endpoint. In contrast to healing rates, which have typically exceeded 90% in outcome trials of 8 to 12 weeks, rates of symptom control have been in the order of 50% to 65% (Figure 1).^[7] In patients with

There is a substantial proportion of patients with GERD who fail conventional, once-daily PPI therapy when symptom control is the endpoint.

non-erosive esophageal reflux disease (NERD), rates of symptom control are generally reported to be lower than those achieved in patients with esophagitis. ⁽⁸⁾ However, most treatment trials in patients with NERD have been limited to 4 weeks and there are data, at least in uninvestigated patients with heartburn, to show that the proportion of patients with symptom relief increases with continued therapy, up to 12 weeks. ⁽⁹⁾ Although the rates of symptom control have been far greater with PPIs than with any other therapy used in the treatment of GERD, the need for better treatments is driven by the important relationship between symptoms and diminished quality of life.⁽¹⁰⁾

FIGURE 1 | PPIs: More Effective for Healing of GERD than Symptom Control



Adapted from Dekel R. et al. Drugs 2004;64(3):277-95

GERD versus NERD

The CAG guidelines endorse empirical treatment of heartburn and regurgitation in the absence of alarm symptoms, such as unexplained weight loss

or signs of bleeding, on the presumption of underlying GERD.⁽⁶⁾ In responders, no further investigations may ever be conducted, precluding subclassification of GERD into its erosive esophagitis and NERD subtypes. Although it is possible that NERD is best characterized as an early stage or mild form of GERD, it has been proposed that

Although it is possible that NERD is best characterized as an early stage or mild form of GERD, it has been proposed that NERD is a distinct entity that has a modest risk of progression to esophagitis and complications. NERD is a distinct entity that has a modest risk of progression to esophagitis and complications.^[11] However, our understanding of NERD is hampered by the fact that there is no objective test to confirm reflux – acid or non-acid – in NERD patients since, by definition, they have no endoscopic evidence of injury. In the absence of reflux, antireflux therapy would not be expected to be effective. If, however, the symptoms are caused by reflux of gastric constituents other than acid, which are perhaps less likely to cause erosive esophagitis, gastric acid control may also be ineffective. Although antisecretory therapies are effective in the majority of NERD patients, only about half of patients with NERD have acid levels in the lower esophagus that are above the physiological range.⁽¹²⁾ Overall, the pathophysiology and natural history of NERD remain unclear.

Unlike esophagitis, where the straightforward relationship between excess acid and inflammation is apparent in the high rates of healing achieved by raising lower esophageal pH, the more complex relationship between acid control and symptom relief may be valuable to efforts to improve successful empirical management of GERD. Of several plausible explanations for NERD in the absence of elevated acid levels, visceral hypersensitivity is among the

The complex

relationship and symptom relief may be valuable to efforts to improve successful empirical management of GERD.

strongest.^[13] There are several mechanisms by which nociceptive receptors between acid control in the esophageal mucosa in patients with NERD may generate the pain signals that underlie symptoms. In addition to hypersensitivity to weakly acidic reflux,^[14] these include microscopic impairments in mucosal

integrity that may increase access of acid or other gastric constituents to nerves, producing symptoms at levels of pH not normally considered pathologic (Table 1).^[15]

TABLE 1 | Etiologic Factors: Erosive Esophagitis vs. NERD

	Erosive Esophagitis	NERD
	Excess gastric acid in the esophagus	Excess gastric acid in the esophagus
	Insufficient LES Function	Insufficient LES Function
	Abnormal esophageal motility	Abnormal esophageal motility Hypersensitivity to weakly acidic reflux Non-acid reflux Microscopic damage to esophageal mucosa
Н		

Not all symptoms may be acid related in patients with symptoms consistent with GERD. For example, patients with a sensitive esophagus may complain of GERD symptoms when they drink citrus juices or alcohol or eat tomatoes or spicy foods. These exposures do not necessarily exacerbate GERD or

reflux. Rather, they may simply irritate an esophagus that is already sensitive or injured. In addition, changes in motility due to impaired peristaltic function can cause upper GI symptoms. Although motility disorders are a far less common source of upper GI symptoms than acid-driven heartburn, this source of symptoms is now more easily detected with the introduction of high-resolution manometry.^[16] For non-acid-related symptoms, pH monitoring, impedance monitoring, and manometry are useful for identifying the underlying causes of symptoms in non-responders to PPI therapy, but these tools are generally reserved for patients whose symptoms persist after several trials of pharmacologic therapy, particularly higher or more frequent doses of PPIs.

Treatment: Improving Response with Acid Control

The CAG quidelines recommend once-daily PPI therapy in a standard dose for symptoms of persistent GERD.⁽⁶⁾ The standard dose of the first drug in this class, omeprazole, is 20 mg. The standard doses of subsequently marketed PPIs, which differ modestly in metabolism and pharmacokinetics, have ranged from 20 mg to 40 mg. In general, the efficacy differences, if any, between the first generation PPIs did not appear to be substantial. Although there were no large-scale, head-to-head comparisons with clinical endpoints until the introduction of esomeprazole, the S-enantiomer of the parent compound omeprazole, healing rates of esophagitis and symptom control in uninvestigated GERD were of the same order of magnitude. In trials with esomeprazole, which increased the proportion of each 24-hour dosing period with pH >4.0,⁽¹⁷⁾ healing rates have been superior relative to the previously available PPIs, which, in addition to omeprazole, included lansoprazole, pantoprazole, and rabeprazole.^[18]

The advantage of greater acid control for GERD management, demonstrated with the relative advantage of esomeprazole over previous PPIs, has fostered other strategies to more effectively suppress acid over each dosing period to improve clinical benefit. These strategies have included doubling the dose of PPIs, typically by twice-daily administration,^[19] or adding a histamine H₂-receptor antagonist.⁽²⁰⁾ One of the potential disadvantages of these strategies is that they forsake the compliance advantage inherent in a once-daily therapy. More recently, an alternative strategy has been introduced with a new PPI, dexlansoprazole, that employs a dual-delayed release delivery technology which produces two plasma peaks.^[21] In a randomized study, evaluating intragastric pH, dexlansoprazole produced an improvement over the standard dose of esomeprazole which was similar to that which esomeprazole had produced over previous PPIs (Figure 2).^[22] While the mean pH over 24 hours was superior for dexlansoprazole (4.3 vs. 3.7; P<0.003), the significant differences

between 12 and 24 hours post-dose for time with pH >4.0 (60% vs. 42%; P<0.001) and average mean pH (4.5 vs. 3.5; P<0.001) predict better control of the acid-driven symptoms of GERD (Figures 3 and 4).

FIGURE 2 | Mean Plasma Concentration-time Curves



Adapted from Kukulka M, et al. Clin Exp Gastroenterol. 2011; 4:213-220.

FIGURE 3 | Mean Percentage of Time with Intragastric pH > 4.0



**P* < 0.01; ‡*P* < 0.001.

Adapted from Kukulka M, et al. *Clin Exp Gastroenterol*. 2011;4:213-220.

For empirical therapy of GERD, there is a reasonable expectation that the greatest likelihood of symptom control will be achieved with greater acid control

There is a reasonable expectation that the greatest likelihood of symptom control will be achieved with greater acid control over each dosing period. over each dosing period. Improved acid suppression during the nighttime hours is particularly important, because up to 80% of patients with GERD report nighttime symptoms and, nearly half of those report impaired quality of sleep. ^[23] Based on the modest therapeutic gain from increasing the dose of once-daily PPIs, the patterns

of inadequate symptom relief from traditional PPIs suggest that the deficiency occurs because acid

FIGURE 4 | Mean Intragastric pH over 24-hour Post-dose Period



**P* < 0.001.

Adapted from Kukulka M, et al. Clin Exp Gastroenterol. 2011;4: 213-220.

suppression is not sustained adequately. This is consistent with the formation of new proton pumps after serum levels of PPIs are no longer high enough to irreversibly block pump function; the re-emergence of actively-secreting proton pumps during the latter part of the 24-hour dosing period is susceptible to treatment by longer-acting PPIs that can sustain high levels for longer periods. So far, other pharmacologic strategies, such as drugs designed to improve tone of the lower esophageal sphincter (LES), have not yet proven to be clinically viable but may prevail in ongoing clinical studies.^[24] While surgery to improve LES function remains a therapeutic option for GERD, benefits are not necessarily superior to PPI for aciddriven symptoms.⁽²⁵⁻²⁶⁾ This makes strategies for delivering active drugs over a longer period of acid proton formation one of the most attractive methods for improving symptom control.

Conclusion

In patients presenting with GERD, in the absence of alarm symptoms, empiric treatment with PPIs serves as both a diagnostic study and a treatment. For esophagitis, PPIs have a very high rate of success, healing most patients in standard doses. While there is no pharmacologic therapy superior to PPIs for control of symptoms, the limitation of standard doses of PPIs has been a growing concern because of the strong relationship between persistent symptoms and diminished quality of life. While acid may not always be the critical factor in patients with inadequately controlled GERD-like symptoms, incremental improvements in acid control with more effective PPIs or more effective delivery of PPIs promise greater efficacy and should be considered before pursuing additional diagnostic studies to rule out non-acid sources of symptomatology.

References

1. Vakil N, van Zanten SV, Kahrilas P, Dent J, Jones R. The Montreal definition and classification of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a global evidence-based consensus. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2006;101(8):1900-20; quiz 43.

2. Thomson AB, Barkun AN, Armstrong D, et al. The prevalence of clinically significant endoscopic findings in primary care patients with uninvestigated dyspepsia: the Canadian Adult Dyspepsia Empiric Treatment - Prompt Endoscopy (CADET-PE) study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2003;17(12):1481-91.

3. Fedorak RN, Veldhuyzen van Zanten S, Bridges R. Canadian Digestive Health Foundation Public Impact Series: gastroesophageal reflux disease in Canada: incidence, prevalence, and direct and indirect economic impact. *Can J Gastroenterol* 2010;24(7):431-4.

4. Becher A, Dent J. Systematic review: ageing and gastrooesophageal reflux disease symptoms, oesophageal function and reflux oesophagitis. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2011;33(4):442-54.

5. Karamanolis G, Kotsalidis G, Triantafyllou K, et al. Yield of combined impedance-pH monitoring for refractory reflux symptoms in clinical practice. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2011;17(2):158-63.

6. Armstrong D, Marshall JK, Chiba N, et al. Canadian Consensus Conference on the management of gastroesophageal reflux disease in adults - update 2004. *Can J Gastroenterol* 2005;19(1):15-35.

7. Dekel R, Morse C, Fass R. The role of proton pump inhibitors in gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Drugs* 2004;64(3):277-95.

8. van Pinxteren B, Numans ME, Lau J, de Wit NJ, Hungin AP, Bonis PA. Short-term treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *J Gen Intern Med* 2003;18(9):755-63.

9. Armstrong D, Veldhuyzen van Zanten SJ, Barkun AN, et al. Heartburn-dominant, uninvestigated dyspepsia: a comparison of 'PPI-start' and 'H2-RA-start' management strategies in primary care--the CADET-HR Study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2005;21(10):1189-202.

10. Becher A, El-Serag H. Systematic review: the association between symptomatic response to proton pump inhibitors and health-related quality of life in patients with gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2011;34(6):618-27.

11. Fass R. Non-erosive reflux disease (NERD) and erosive esophagitis--a spectrum of disease or special entities? *Z Gastroenterol* 2007;45(11):1156-63.

12. Martinez SD, Malagon IB, Garewal HS, Cui H, Fass R. Nonerosive reflux disease (NERD)--acid reflux and symptom patterns. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2003;17(4):537-45.

13. Knowles CH, Aziz Q. Visceral hypersensitivity in non-erosive reflux disease. *Gut* 2008;57(5):674-83.

14. Miwa H, Kondo T, Oshima T, Fukui H, Tomita T, Watari J. Esophageal sensation and esophageal hypersensitivity - overview from bench to bedside. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2010;16(4):353-62.

15. Farre R, Fornari F, Blondeau K, et al. Acid and weakly acidic solutions impair mucosal integrity of distal exposed and proximal non-exposed human oesophagus. *Gut* 2010;59(2):164-9.

16. Roman S, Zerbib F, Belhocine K, des Varannes SB, Mion F. High resolution manometry to detect transient lower oesophageal sphincter relaxations: diagnostic accuracy compared with perfused-sleeve manometry, and the definition of new detection criteria. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2011;34(3):384-93.

17. Miner P, Jr., Katz PO, Chen Y, Sostek M. Gastric acid control with esomeprazole, lansoprazole, omeprazole, pantoprazole, and rabeprazole: a five-way crossover study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2003;98(12):2616-20.

18. Gralnek IM, Dulai GS, Fennerty MB, Spiegel BM. Esomeprazole versus other proton pump inhibitors in erosive esophagitis: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2006;4(12):1452-8.

19. Fass R. Proton pump inhibitor failure--what are the therapeutic options? *Am J Gastroenterol* 2009;104 Suppl 2:S33-8.

20. Mainie I, Tutuian R, Castell DO. Addition of a H2 receptor antagonist to PPI improves acid control and decreases nocturnal acid breakthrough. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2008;42(6):676-9.

21. Vakily M, Zhang W, Wu J, Atkinson SN, Mulford D. Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of a known active PPI with a novel Dual Delayed Release technology, dexlansoprazole MR: a combined analysis of randomized controlled clinical trials. *Curr Med Res Opin* 2009;25(3):627-38.

22. Kukulka M, Eisenberg C, Nudurupati S. Comparator pH study to evaluate the single-dose pharmacodynammics of dualy delayed-released dexlansoprazole 60 mg and delayed-release esomeprazole 40 mg. *Clinical and Experimental Gastroenterology* 2011;4:213-20.

23. Farup C, Kleinman L, Sloan S, et al. The impact of nocturnal symptoms associated with gastroesophageal reflux disease on health-related quality of life. *Arch Intern Med* 2001;161(1):45-52.

24. Blondeau K. Treatment of gastro-esophageal reflux disease: the new kids to block. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2010;22(8):836-40.

25. Galmiche JP, Hatlebakk J, Attwood S, et al. Laparoscopic antireflux surgery vs esomeprazole treatment for chronic GERD: the LOTUS randomized clinical trial. *JAMA* 2011;305[19]:1969-77.

26. Anvari M, Allen C, Marshall J, et al. A randomized controlled trial of laparoscopic Nissen fundoplication versus proton pump inhibitors for the treatment of patients with chronic gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD): 3-year outcomes. *Surg Endosc* 2011;25(8):2547-54.

Guest Editor

Marc Bradette, MD, FRCP

Chief of Service, Department of Gastroenterology Pavillon Hôtel-Dieu de Québec Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Québec Québec, Québec

UNMET NEEDS IN PPI THERAPY FOR GERD Nighttime GERD: Implications for Clinical Practice

Of patients who report at least once-weekly episodes of heartburn, most also report nighttime symptoms. Although both the daytime and nighttime subtypes of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) are produced when acidic gastric contents reflux into the lower esophagus, nighttime reflux has the potential to be a more severe form. Probably due to the loss of gravity that increases acid dwell time in the esophagus in the supine position, nocturnal reflux is associated with a higher risk of esophagitis and its long-term complications. Effective treatment of daytime reflux is not necessarily effective for nighttime episodes for a variety of reasons, including diminishing pharmacologic effect from proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) that are typically taken once daily in the morning. In many patient groups, such as those with sleep apnea, nocturnal GERD can contribute substantially to complications such as daytime fatigue. Due to its distinct features and risks, nocturnal GERD should be addressed specifically with the goal of complete symptom control.

Definition and Epidemiology

By the most commonly-used definition, patients have nocturnal gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) when heartburn or other symptoms adversely affect

Large surveys of patients with GERD suggest that about 80% of patients with daytime GERD also have nighttime symptoms. sleep quality.⁽¹⁾ This can refer to difficulty getting to sleep, mid-sleep awakenings, or a sense of next-day fatigue. Large surveys of patients with GERD suggest that about 80% of patients with daytime GERD also have nighttime symptoms.⁽²⁻³⁾ In one survey

of patients with GERD, 47% reported that symptoms sometimes or frequently woke them in the middle of the night.⁽⁴⁾ In another, 63% reported that GERD symptoms adversely affected the quality of their sleep, and 40% reported that nocturnal heartburn affected their ability to function the next day.⁽⁵⁾ The likelihood of nocturnal symptoms increased with the frequency of daytime symptoms (Figure 1).

FIGURE 1 | Sleep Disturbances More Frequent with Increased Heartburn Episodes



Adapted from Shaker R et al. *Am J Gastroenterol*. 2003;98[7]: 1487-93.

Although most surveys have focused on heartburn as the source of sleep disturbances, other GERD symptoms, such as regurgitation, may make important contributions. In particular, there is a strong association between GERD and sleep apnea.⁽⁶⁻⁷⁾ It has been hypothesized that this association is the result of a vicious cycle when gastric contents reach the upper airways to cause inflammatory damage.^[8-9] According to this theory, sleep apnea which is induced or exacerbated by this damage, reduces intrathoracic pressure to increase reflux events, thereby increasing the risk for further reflux, further inflammation, and persistent apnea risk (Figures 2 and 3). Other extraesophageal symptoms of GERD, such as chronic cough, may also be involved in clinically significant disturbances of sleep or sleep quality.^[10]

Impact of Nocturnal GERD on Quality of Life

The adverse impact of nocturnal GERD on quality of life has been demonstrated repeatedly,^[11-12] but there

is also substantial evidence that GERD episodes at night are more serious than GERD events during the day. Nocturnal GERD is associated with a greater risk of esophagitis and severe forms of esophagitis.^[13] including a greater risk of Barrett's esophagus.^[14] The likely explanation is that the events occurring in the supine position produce slower clearance of the reflux so that longer acid contact increases the risk of damage.^[15-16] The reduction in gravitational forces inherent in the supine position may also increase the likelihood that reflux will extend higher into the esophagus, reaching the airways to exacerbate sleep apnea and other extraesophageal manifestations.^[17]

FIGURE 2 | Vicious Cycle between Sleep Apnea and Esophagitis



Nighttime symptoms have also been associated with diminishing next-day work productivity.^[18] In a study which compared 476 individuals with GERD who had nocturnal symptoms to 526 individuals with GERD but no nocturnal symptoms and 513 controls, the reduction in work productivity and GERD-related work loss were highly significant (P<0.0001) relative to either the GERD group without nocturnal symptoms or controls.^[19]

FIGURE 3 | Gastro-esophageal Anatomy Implicated in Nighttime GERD



Pathophysiology

Although the vast majority of patients with daytime GERD also have clinically significant nocturnal symptoms, risk factors for nocturnal symptoms may differ. In relatively large surveys, nocturnal GERD risk factors have included more daily

There is substantial evidence that GERD episodes at night are more serious than GERD events during the day. Nocturnal GERD is associated with a greater risk of esophagitis and severe forms of esophagitis, including a greater risk of Barrett's esophagus.

symptoms of heartburn, severe daytime symptoms, predominant symptom of regurgitation, long duration of GERD symptoms, and higher body mass index (BMI). ⁽²⁰⁻²¹⁾ Sleep apnea, as previously mentioned, is also associated with nocturnal GERD, and there is a correlation between greater severity of apnea and greater likelihood of GERD.⁽⁶⁾ While the correlation between

severe or frequent symptoms of daytime heartburn symptoms may relate to a weaker barrier to reflux episodes, such as hiatal hernia,^[21] the increase in BMI is likely to not only increase the risk of GERD but the proximal extent of the rise in gastric contents.^[22]

The basic mechanism of GERD, which includes a greater or more prolonged acid exposure in the lower esophagus after otherwise normal transient lower esophageal sphincter relaxations (TLESR),⁽²³⁾ is likely to be similar in daytime and nighttime GERD, but the precipitating factors may vary. For example, late night meals have been shown to be a risk factor for nocturnal GERD, ^[24] and agents that increase muscle relaxation, such as benzodiazepines, may also have a more deleterious effect at night than in the day when patients are no longer upright.^[21]

Impaired barrier function due to a hiatal hernia or other cause may be important to nocturnal GERD in some individuals, but there is some evidence TLESRs are similar in patients with or without GERD and that the difference in risk is mediated by the greater acid content of the reflux, the slower clearance of the acid, or both.^[23, 25] This reinforces the importance of antisecretory therapy to lower gastric acid levels, a step that may be poorly suited to once-daily proton pump inhibitor (PPI) therapy taken in the morning. PPIs irreversibly bind to meal-stimulated proton-pumps, which is the final step for gastric acid secretion, but have a relatively short half-life in the serum. ^[26] Consequently, when new proton pumps are formed with meals later in the day, gastric acid suppression diminishes (Figure 4). This may explain why nocturnal GERD is often more difficult to control and is more likely to produce severe esophagitis.^[13]

Treatment

Effective acid control is associated with a reduction in nocturnal GERD, including sleep disturbances,^[27] but once-daily PPIs are unable to control nocturnal GERD consistently.^[28] This has led to a variety of strategies to improve outcome, including twice-daily PPI therapy,^[29] once-daily PPI therapy combined with an H₂-receptor antagonist at night,^[30] and sustainedrelease or dual-release PPIs. (31-32) Although twice-

daily PPIs are effective and are likely to be superior to the combination of a PPI and an H₂-receptor antagonist, which would provide weaker acid control at night, this approach is burdened by a more demanding regimen that might diminish compliance.

FIGURE 4 | Control of Nocturnal GERD May Depend on Longer PPI Duration of Action



The potential advantages of a sustained- or dualrelease PPI for the treatment of nocturnal GERD

are substantial based on the pathophysiology of GERD and the pharmacokinetics of antisecretory agents. In a study that compared a sustained-release once-daily dose of 50 mg rabeprazole to a conventional dose of 40 mg substantial based on once daily esomeprazole, the pathophysiology the esophagitis healing rates were slightly greater at 8 weeks for Los Angeles (LA) grade C esophagitis with rabeprazole as compared

The potential advantages of a sustained- or dualrelease PPI for the treatment of nocturnal GERD are of GERD and the pharmacokinetics of antisecretory agents.

with esomeprazole (80% vs. 75%), but the symptom relief was comparable (48.3% vs. 48.2%).^[33] However, this study did not look at nocturnal symptoms specifically. In contrast, a study of dexlansoprazole modified release (MR), which employs a dualrelease technology to separate peak plasma levels, that was conducted specifically in individuals with nocturnal GERD did demonstrate a highly significant reduction in sleep-related symptoms as well as an improvement in work productivity.^[32] In this 305-patient trial, relief of sleep disturbances was 69.7% and 47.9% (*P*<0.001) in placebo in the two study groups, favouring dexlansoprazole (Figure 5).

Other strategies can be helpful alone or in combination with acid control for reducing nocturnal GERD and its adverse effects on sleep. Avoiding late evening meals is one reasonable approach, while elevating the head of the bed has a documented benefit on symptom improvement.^[1] These mechanical approaches are important, but acid control has been fundamental to the

www.TheMedicalXchange.com

treatment of GERD in both its daytime and nighttime manifestations. Surgical control of fundoplication has been specifically associated with improvement in sleep disturbances due to GERD,⁽³⁴⁾ but other methods of acid control if effective in the evening hours would be expected to provide meaningful clinical benefit.

FIGURE 5 | Symptom Relief at End of Four-Week Trial



Adapted from Fass R, et al. Am J Gastroenterol 2011;106(3):421-31.

Conclusion

Nocturnal GERD is an extremely common disorder that deserves specific attention because of its important role in diminishing quality of life as well as the threat it poses to development of esophagitis and its complications, including Barrett's esophagus and esophageal adenocarcinoma. It cannot be assumed that treatments effective for control of daytime GERD symptoms will be effective in the evening for several reasons, particularly the physiology of meal-stimulated acid pump development. Patients treated for GERD should be asked specifically about nighttime symptom control. Adjustments in treatment, including use of longer-acting agents, may be appropriate if there is a presence of nocturnal GERD. Controlling nocturnal GERD presents a major opportunity to improve patient wellbeing.

References

1. Gerson LB, Fass R. A systematic review of the definitions, prevalence, and response to treatment of nocturnal gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2009;7(4):372-8; quiz 67.

2. Farup C, Kleinman L, Sloan S, et al. The impact of nocturnal symptoms associated with gastroesophageal reflux disease on health-related quality of life. *Arch Intern Med* 2001;161(1):45-52.

3. Chand N, Johnson DA, Tabangin M, Ware JC. Sleep dysfunction in patients with gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: prevalence and response to GERD therapy, a pilot study. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2004;20[9]:969-74.

4. Locke GR, 3rd, Talley NJ, Fett SL, Zinsmeister AR, Melton LJ, 3rd. Prevalence and clinical spectrum of gastroesophageal reflux: a population-based study in Olmsted County, Minnesota. *Gastroenterology* 1997;112(5):1448-56.

5. Shaker R, Castell DO, Schoenfeld PS, Spechler SJ. Nighttime heartburn is an under-appreciated clinical problem that impacts sleep and daytime function: the results of a Gallup survey conducted on behalf of the American Gastroenterological Association. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2003;98(7):1487-93.

6. Shepherd KL, James AL, Musk AW, Hunter ML, Hillman DR, Eastwood PR. Gastro-oesophageal reflux symptoms are related to the presence and severity of obstructive sleep apnoea. *J Sleep Res* 2011;20(1 Pt 2):241-9.

7. Sabate JM, Jouet P, Merrouche M, et al. Gastroesophageal reflux in patients with morbid obesity: a role of obstructive sleep apnea syndrome? *Obes Surg* 2008;18(11):1479-84.

8. Morse CA, Quan SF, Mays MZ, Green C, Stephen G, Fass R. Is there a relationship between obstructive sleep apnea and gastroesophageal reflux disease? *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2004;2(9):761-8.

9. Kuribayashi S, Kusano M, Kawamura O, et al. Mechanism of gastroesophageal reflux in patients with obstructive sleep apnea syndrome. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2010;22(6):611-e172.

10. Birring SS. New concepts in the management of chronic cough. *Pulm Pharmacol Ther* 2011;24(3):334-8.

11. Guda N, Partington S, Vakil N. Symptomatic gastrooesophageal reflux, arousals and sleep quality in patients undergoing polysomnography for possible obstructive sleep apnoea. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2004;20(10):1153-9.

12. Orr WC, Heading R, Johnson LF, Kryger M. Review article: sleep and its relationship to gastro-oesophageal reflux. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2004;20 Suppl 9:39-46.

13. Adachi K, Fujishiro H, Katsube T, et al. Predominant nocturnal acid reflux in patients with Los Angeles grade C and D reflux esophagitis. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2001;16(11):1191-6.

14. Orr WC, Lackey C, Robinson MG, Johnson LF, Welsh JD. Esophageal acid clearance during sleep in patients with Barrett's esophagus. *Dig Dis Sci* 1988;33(6):654-9.

15. Orr WC, Allen ML, Robinson M. The pattern of nocturnal and diurnal esophageal acid exposure in the pathogenesis of erosive mucosal damage. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1994;89(4):509-12.

16. Helm JF, Dodds WJ, Pelc LR, Palmer DW, Hogan WJ, Teeter BC. Effect of esophageal emptying and saliva on clearance of acid from the esophagus. *N Engl J Med* 1984;310(5):284-8.

17. Jacob P, Kahrilas PJ, Herzon G. Proximal esophageal pHmetry in patients with 'reflux laryngitis'. *Gastroenterology* 1991;100(2):305-10. 18. Gross M, Beckenbauer U, Burkowitz J, Walther H, Brueggenjuergen B. Impact of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease on work productivity despite therapy with proton pump inhibitors in Germany. *Eur J Med Res* 2010;15(3):124-30.

19. Dubois RW, Aguilar D, Fass R, et al. Consequences of frequent nocturnal gastro-oesophageal reflux disease among employed adults: symptom severity, quality of life and work productivity. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2007;25(4):487-500.

20. Gaddam S, Maddur P, Wani S, et al. Risk Factors for Nocturnal Reflux in a Large GERD Cohort. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2011.

21. Fass R, Quan SF, O'Connor GT, Ervin A, Iber C. Predictors of heartburn during sleep in a large prospective cohort study. *Chest* 2005;127(5):1658-66.

22. Blondeau K, Boecxstaens V, Van Oudenhove L, Farre R, Boeckxstaens G, Tack J. Increasing body weight enhances prevalence and proximal extent of reflux in GERD patients 'on' and 'off' PPI therapy. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2011.

23. Iwakiri K, Kawami N, Sano H, et al. Mechanisms of excessive esophageal acid exposure in patients with reflux esophagitis. *Dig Dis Sci* 2009;54(8):1686-92.

24. Piesman M, Hwang I, Maydonovitch C, Wong RK. Nocturnal reflux episodes following the administration of a standardized meal. Does timing matter? *Am J Gastroenterol* 2007;102(10):2128-34.

25. Hershcovici T, Mashimo H, Fass R. The lower esophageal sphincter. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 2011.

26. Sachs G, Shin JM, Hunt R. Novel approaches to inhibition of gastric acid secretion. *Curr Gastroenterol Rep* 2010;12(6):437-47.

27. Dimarino Jr AJ, Banwait KS, Eschinger E, et al. The effect of gastro-oesophageal reflux and omeprazole on key sleep parameters. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2005;22(4):325-9.

28. Johnson DA, Katz PO. Nocturnal gastroesophageal reflux disease: issues, implications, and management strategies. *Rev Gastroenterol Disord* 2008;8(2):98-108.

29. Orr WC, Craddock A, Goodrich S. Acidic and non-acidic reflux during sleep under conditions of powerful acid suppression. *Chest* 2007;131(2):460-5.

30. Mainie I, Tutuian R, Castell DO. Addition of a H2 receptor antagonist to PPI improves acid control and decreases nocturnal acid breakthrough. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2008;42(6):676-9.

31. Howden CW, Ballard ED, Koch FK, Gautille TC, Bagin RG. Control of 24-hour intragastric acidity with morning dosing of immediate-release and delayed-release proton pump inhibitors in patients with GERD. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2009;43(4):323-6.

32. Fass R, Johnson DA, Orr WC, et al. The effect of dexlansoprazole MR on nocturnal heartburn and GERD-related sleep disturbances in patients with symptomatic GERD. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2011;106(3):421-31.

33. Laine L, Katz PO, Johnson DA, et al. Randomised clinical trial: a novel rabeprazole extended release 50 mg formulation vs. esomeprazole 40 mg in healing of moderate-to-severe erosive oesophagitis - the results of two double-blind studies. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2011;33(2):203-12.

34. Cohen JA, Arain A, Harris PA, et al. Surgical trial investigating nocturnal gastroesophageal reflux and sleep (STINGERS). *Surg Endosc* 2003;17(3):394-400.

Guest Editor

Brian Bressler, MD, MS, FRCPC

Clinical Assistant Professor of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology University of British Columbia Vancouver, British Columbia

UNMET NEEDS IN PPI THERAPY FOR GERD GERD: The Era of PPIs

Proton pump inhibitors (PPIs) replaced previous options for the treatment of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) because of superior acid control, a fundamental component of GERD pathophysiology. However, despite the high rates with which PPIs heal esophagitis, it is now well recognized that a substantial proportion of individuals do not achieve complete or even adequate relief of symptoms. Alternative strategies to improve symptom control which interferes with a patient's quality or life include high dose or twice-daily PPIs, newer delivery methods to improve pharmacologic effect over each dosing interval and the introduction of adjunctive treatments, including lifestyle modifications, to augment PPI activity. Pharmacologic targets other than acid control are being pursued but have not yet yielded marketable alternatives. Clinicians need to develop an awareness of the frequency with which patients on conventional PPI treatment are dissatisfied with treatment in order to consider strategies that may be effective for improving quality of life.

Epidemiology

Transient reflux of gastric contents into the esophagus is a normal and frequent physiologic event.^[1] Although the majority of episodes are symptomless, periodic episodes of heartburn may occur in otherwise healthy individuals. The point at which episodes of heartburn meet the threshold of an empirical diagnosis of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) is based on frequency, chronicity, and the degree of the symptom burden. In Canada, consistent with other North American and European countries, approximately 17% of adults experience moderate to severe symptoms of GERD at least once weekly.^[2]

The symptoms of GERD have a significant adverse impact on quality of life whether or not they are associated with esophagitis,^[3-4] which need not be present for a diagnosis of GERD.^[5] Rather, while it was once thought that non-erosive GERD, often called

There is growing evidence that NERD, GERD with esophagitis, and Barrett's esophagus are related but independent phenotypes with distinct natural histories. NERD, was an early stage or milder form of GERD, there is growing evidence that NERD, GERD with esophagitis, and Barrett's esophagus, characterized by metaplasia of the squamous epithelium, are related but independent phenotypes with distinct natural histories.^[6] It is estimated that 50% to

85% of patients with GERD will not demonstrate esophagitis on endoscopy.^[7] Of patients with NERD, only about 10% progress to esophagitis if followed long term.^[5] The pathophysiology of functional heartburn, which is defined by heartburn in the absence of lesions or abnormalities in acid exposure on pH studies, is unknown and may incorporate several subgroups, including those with abnormalities of motility.^[8]

Other evidence suggesting that NERD and erosive esophagitis are related but independent entities include differences in dominant risk factors. Although the list of risk factors overlap, elevated body weight and hiatal hernia are more closely associated with esophagitis, while psychological co-morbidities and extraesophageal symptoms are more commonly presented by patients with NERD.⁽⁹⁾ In addition, NERD patients are less responsive to proton pump inhibitor (PPI) therapy.⁽⁹⁾ In one study, the proportion of patients refractory to PPIs was more than three times greater in those with NERD relative to those with esophagitis (16.7% vs. 6%).⁽¹⁰⁾

In typical practice, treatment is offered without first differentiating NERD from esophagitis. According to Canadian guidelines, endoscopic investigation is not necessary unless patients present with alarm symptoms, which include involuntary weight loss, evidence of blood in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract, or unexplained anemia, or if the goal is to rule out Barrett's esophagus.⁽⁵⁾ Endoscopy or other types of diagnostic studies, such as esophageal pH monitoring, may also be appropriate in patients with atypical symptoms, such as dysphagia, chest pain, or vomiting.

The rising rate of GERD over the past two decades ^[11] are not fully understood and may be due to multiple factors, including the growing rates of obesity, a risk factor for GERD.^[12] While the increasing prevalence of GERD may be associated with increases in Barrett's esophagus and esophageal adenocarcinoma,^[13] GERD by itself can be considered a serious disease for which the goal should be complete symptom control. While there is good data demonstrating that GERD is associated with substantial direct and indirect health costs,^[14] uncontrolled or inadequately controlled symptoms not only have an adverse impact on numerous domains of quality of life, such as general perception of overall health status, they can interfere with diet, impair sleep, and reduce productivity.^[15-16]

Pathophysiology of Acid

Although there is an association between symptoms and the degree and duration of refluxed acidic gastric contents,^[17] there also appears to be substantial variability for the threshold at which symptoms are experienced, whether defined by pH or reflux duration. ^[18] When PPIs were introduced, they replaced H₂- receptor antagonists because, acting on the final common pathway of gastric acid production in the parietal cell, they provided greater acid inhibition for a longer duration.^[19] A direct relationship between acid control and both symptom control and healing of esophagitis is relevant to pharmacologic agents as well as surgical interventions used in the treatment of GERD.^[20]

PPIs act by irreversibly binding to the proton pump in the parietal cell, thereby impeding the hydrogenpotassium exchange fundamental to acid secretion.^[21] Due to the irreversibility, acid secretion is restored only when new pumps are formed, which occurs with meal stimulation.^[22] As the serum half-life of PPIs is only two to three hours,^[21] the window of time in which proton pump binding and inhibition takes place is relatively short. Although higher doses may bind a higher proportion of existing proton pumps, the incremental benefit is small.^[23] Acid suppression persists because of the irreversible binding; there is a diminishing effect over time as new proton pumps are formed.^[21]

The goal of reducing gastric acid production with PPIs is to increase the pH of the refluxate into the esophagus to reduce risk of damage and aciddriven symptoms. Another approach is to improve the barrier to reflux from the stomach into the esophagus. This is the mechanism of benefit from fundoplication and the strategy behind numerous endoscopic procedures, most of which have not yet demonstrated convincing benefit over sustained periods.⁽²⁴⁾ Pharmacologic therapies to strengthen the lower esophageal sphincter (LES), which is the primary physiologic barrier to reflux, have been pursued.⁽²⁵⁾ These efforts, like alternative pharmacologic approaches to acid suppression, have not yet generated an effective and safe treatment (Figure 1).

FIGURE 1 | Reasons for Persistent GERD



Unmet Needs in GERD Therapy

The need to improve therapy for GERD is driven by the substantial proportion of patients who do not achieve adequate symptom relief on conventional doses of PPIs. In patients with esophagitis on endoscopy, 20% to 30% continue to experience symptoms even if the esophagitis is healed.^[26] In patients with NERD, less than 50% of patients achieve complete symptom resolution on a standard dose of PPI (Figure 2).⁽⁷⁾ Patients achieving complete resolution of nocturnal symptoms on standard doses of PPIs is worse in both groups.^[27] In one survey conducted in the United States of patients taking a PPI, 80% reported that they had symptoms within the previous 30 days.^[28] Of these, 22% were on twice-daily PPIs, and almost half supplement that PPI with another agent, such as an H₂-receptor antagonist or an antacid.

FIGURE 2 | Patients with Inadequate Symptom Control



Although a more rapid and complete symptomatic response is more common in patients with esophagitis, the presence or absence of inflammation is not typically known to the treating physician. However, the goal should include symptom relief whether or

The need to improve therapy for GERD is driven by the substantial proportion of patients who do not achieve adequate symptom relief on conventional doses of PPIs.

not patients have esophagitis. Persistent symptoms produce a reduction in quality of life on the order of that experienced by patients who have survived a coronary event.^[29] In those with symptoms described by patients as disrupting, GERD is associated with significant increases in absenteeism, reduced work productivity, and higher consumption of healthcare services.^[30]

In patients who undergo endoscopy and receive a diagnosis of esophagitis, healing is an important goal. The risk of untreated GERD includes Barrett's esophagus and esophageal adenocarcinoma.^[31] Patients who undergo endoscopy may be reassured by the absence of lesions, but symptoms of GERD should not be considered benign when they interfere with functions of daily life. The efficacy of PPIs relative to previous options for the treatment of GERD may have initially diverted attention from the substantial proportion of patients who do not obtain adequate relief from these agents, but this unmet need has now generated increasing attention in clinical research. More attention is also needed for understanding the causes and the potential treatments for functional heartburn. PPIs are not typically effective in patients with this diagnosis,^[8] which may incorporate an array of disorders including those stemming from problems of motility or the consequences of psychogenic mood disorders.

Next Steps in GERD Therapy

In addressing inadequate relief of GERD symptoms in patients who are being treated with a PPI, it is important to consider adherence to therapy and alternative sources of pain or discomfort, not the least of which includes angina. However, in an otherwise healthy and adherent patient, the problem may simply be one of inadequate acid control. One explanation for persistent symptoms in some but not all individuals with GERD who receive a conventional dose of PPI is the variability in sensitivity of the esophageal mucosa to acid contact. Although symptoms do correlate with the extent of acid exposure in patients with NERD, as in those with esophagitis, the threshold of sensitivity differs.^[32] This is the reason that the focus on improving symptom control has remained largely on improving acid control.

Unlike higher doses of PPIs, which provides limited additional benefit for control of acid,^[33] twice-daily

regimens address the formation of new proton pumps and have been shown to improve symptom control, including nocturnal symptoms.^[34] However, twice-daily regimens increase the burden on patient compliance, particularly as timing of medication relative to meal-stimulated formation of pumps is important.

An alternative that is conceptually appealing is to extend the activity time of a once-daily PPI by prolonging its antisecretory effect. Several PPIs with

An alternative that is is to extend the activity time of a once-daily PPI by prolonging its antisecretory effect.

unique pharmacokinetics have been evaluated in conceptually appealing clinical testing, including dexlansoprazole, which is now licensed in Canada. Dexlansoprazole, a PPI that is structurally related to, but more potent than,

lansoprazole ⁽³⁵⁾ has been formulated in a capsule that employs a mixture of two types of enteric-coated granules, allowing it to provide two distinct peak concentrations.⁽³⁶⁾ One occurs, like other PPIs, one or two hours after administration, and the second peak at three to four hours later, permitting this agent to extend its activity over a longer period when acid pumps are being formed.

While the licensing of dexlansoprazole was based on conventional double-blind, placebo-controlled trials that associated this agent with both safety and efficacy,^[37] more recent studies have focused on the role on this agent for indications where other PPIs have been less effective, particularly nocturnal GERD. In the most recent study, 305 patients with nocturnal heartburn were randomized to 30 mg dexlansoprazole or placebo.⁽³⁸⁾ Dexlansoprazole was not only highly effective for the primary endpoint of nights without heartburn (73.1% vs. 35.7%; P<0.001) (Figure 3), but was associated with significant improvements in sleep quality and work productivity. These studies have reinforced the feasibility of this approach, which is encouraging because of the limited alternatives. Although other pharmacologic approaches once seemed promising, such as potassium-competitive acid blockers, and agents that inhibit transient lower esophageal relaxations, much of the work in these areas has been stopped because of unanticipated side effects. While both concepts are based on the goal of reducing the amount of acid that reaches the lower esophagus, the effort to improve the pharmacokinetics of PPIs appears to provide the best current pharmacologic option for improving GERD therapy.

Conclusion

The efficacy of PPIs in the treatment of GERD, as well as other acid-related GI disorders, relative to previous options may have delayed the attention now being paid to those who are not achieving adequate symptom relief on conventional doses of these agents. While PPIs do provide very high rates of esophagitis healing, approximately 30% of patients with inflammation and an even higher proportion without esophagitis remain symptomatic. The inadequacy of control is greatest for nocturnal symptoms. Although more frequent dosing may be a solution for some proportion of individuals, modified pharmacokinetics to lengthen the availability of drug available for proton pump binding is another. Efforts to identify patients with persistent symptoms to offer alternative treatment approaches can be expected to be rewarded with substantial improvements in quality of life and wellbeing.



Similar approaches to improving the pharmacokinetics of PPI delivery have been attempted with tentaprazole and a prodrug of omeprazole.^[39-40]

FIGURE 3 | Reaching Primary Endpoint of Nights without Heartburn

References

1. Orlando RC. The pathogenesis of gastroesophageal reflux disease: the relationship between epithelial defense, dysmotility, and acid exposure. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1997;92[4 Suppl]:3S-5S; discussion S-7S.

2. Tougas G, Chen Y, Hwang P, Liu MM, Eggleston A. Prevalence and impact of upper gastrointestinal symptoms in the Canadian population: findings from the DIGEST study. Domestic/ International Gastroenterology Surveillance Study. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1999;94(10):2845-54.

3. Revicki DA, Wood M, Maton PN, Sorensen S. The impact of gastroesophageal reflux disease on health-related quality of life. *Am J Med* 1998;104(3):252-8.

4. El-Dika S, Guyatt GH, Armstrong D, et al. The impact of illness in patients with moderate to severe gastro-esophageal reflux disease. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2005;5:23.

5. Armstrong D, Marshall JK, Chiba N, et al. Canadian Consensus Conference on the management of gastroesophageal reflux disease in adults - update 2004. *Can J Gastroenterol* 2005;19(1):15-35.

6. Fass R. Non-erosive reflux disease (NERD) and erosive esophagitis--a spectrum of disease or special entities? *Z Gastroenterol* 2007;45(11):1156-63.

7. El-Serag HB. Epidemiology of non-erosive reflux disease. *Digestion* 2008;78 Suppl 1:6-10.

8. Fass R, Tougas G. Functional heartburn: the stimulus, the pain, and the brain. *Gut* 2002;51(6):885-92.

9. Dean BB, Gano AD, Jr., Knight K, Ofman JJ, Fass R. Effectiveness of proton pump inhibitors in nonerosive reflux disease. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2004;2(8):656-64.

10. Lee ES, Kim N, Lee SH, et al. Comparison of risk factors and clinical responses to proton pump inhibitors in patients with erosive oesophagitis and non-erosive reflux disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2009;30(2):154-64.

11. El-Serag HB. Time trends of gastroesophageal reflux disease: a systematic review. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2007;5[1]:17-26.

12. Sonnenberg A. Effects of environment and lifestyle on gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Dig Dis* 2011;29(2):229-34.

13. Ryan AM, Duong M, Healy L, et al. Obesity, metabolic syndrome and esophageal adenocarcinoma: Epidemiology, etiology and new targets. *Cancer Epidemiol* 2011.

14. Fedorak RN, Veldhuyzen van Zanten S, Bridges R. Canadian Digestive Health Foundation Public Impact Series: gastroesophageal reflux disease in Canada: incidence, prevalence, and direct and indirect economic impact. *Can J Gastroenterol* 2010;24(7):431-4.

15. Gisbert JP, Cooper A, Karagiannis D, et al. Impact of gastroesophageal reflux disease on patients' daily lives: a European observational study in the primary care setting. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2009;7:60.

16. Liker HR, Ducrotte P, Malfertheiner P. Unmet medical needs among patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease: a foundation for improving management in primary care. *Dig Dis* 2009;27(1):62-7.

17. Wang C, Hunt RH. Precise role of acid in non-erosive reflux disease. *Digestion* 2008;78 Suppl 1:31-41.

18. Smith JL, Opekun AR, Larkai E, Graham DY. Sensitivity of the esophageal mucosa to pH in gastroesophageal reflux disease. Gastroenterology 1989;96(3):683-9.

19. Katz PO, Johnson DA. Control of Intragastric pH and Its Relationship to Gastroesophageal Reflux Disease Outcomes. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2011 Oct;45[9]:748-54.

20. Bell NJ, Burget D, Howden CW, Wilkinson J, Hunt RH. Appropriate acid suppression for the management of gastrooesophageal reflux disease. *Digestion* 1992;51 Suppl 1:59-67.

21. Sachs G, Shin JM, Howden CW. Review article: the clinical pharmacology of proton pump inhibitors. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2006;23 Suppl 2:2-8.

22. Savarino V, Di Mario F, Scarpignato C. Proton pump inhibitors in GORD An overview of their pharmacology, efficacy and safety. *Pharmacol Res* 2009;59(3):135-53.

23. Orlando RC, Liu S, Illueca M. Relationship between esomeprazole dose and timing to heartburn resolution in

selected patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Clin Exp Gastroenterol* 2010;3:117-25.

24. Chen D, Barber C, McLoughlin P, Thavaneswaran P, Jamieson GG, Maddern GJ. Systematic review of endoscopic treatments for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease. *Br J Surg* 2009;96(2):128-36.

25. Boeckxstaens GE, Beaumont H, Mertens V, et al. Effects of lesogaberan on reflux and lower esophageal sphincter function in patients with gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Gastroenterology* 2010;139(2):409-17.

26. Yuan Y, Hunt RH. Evolving issues in the management of reflux disease? *Curr Opin Gastroenterol* 2009;25(4):342-51.

27. Tytgat GN. Are there unmet needs in acid suppression? *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol* 2004;18 Suppl:67-72.

28. Chey WD, Mody RR, Izat E. Patient and physician satisfaction with proton pump inhibitors (PPIs): are there opportunities for improvement? *Dig Dis Sci* 2010;55(12):3415-22.

29. Kulig M, Leodolter A, Vieth M, et al. Quality of life in relation to symptoms in patients with gastro-oesophageal reflux disease-- an analysis based on the ProGERD initiative. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2003;18(8):767-76.

30. Toghanian S, Wahlqvist P, Johnson DA, Bolge SC, Liljas B. The burden of disrupting gastro-oesophageal reflux disease: a database study in US and European cohorts. *Clin Drug Investig* 2010;30(3):167-78.

31. Gilbert EW, Luna RA, Harrison VL, Hunter JG. Barrett's esophagus: a review of the literature. *J Gastrointest Surg* 2011;15(5):708-18.

32. Chua YC, Aziz Q. Perception of gastro-oesophageal reflux. *Best Pract Res Clin Gastroenterol* 2010;24(6):883-91.

33. Gursoy O, Memis D, Sut N. Effect of proton pump inhibitors on gastric juice volume, gastric pH and gastric intramucosal pH in critically ill patients : a randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled study. *Clin Drug Investig* 2008;28(12):777-82.

34. Katz PO, Hatlebakk JG, Castell DO. Gastric acidity and acid breakthrough with twice-daily omeprazole or lansoprazole. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2000;14(6):709-14.

35. Hershcovici T, Jha LK, Fass R. Dexlansoprazole MR - A review. Ann Med 2011;43(5):366-74.

36. Emerson CR, Marzella N. Dexlansoprazole: A proton pump inhibitor with a dual delayed-release system. *Clin Ther* 2010;32(9):1578-96.

37. Sharma P, Shaheen NJ, Perez MC, et al. Clinical trials: healing of erosive oesophagitis with dexlansoprazole MR, a proton pump inhibitor with a novel dual delayed-release formulation--results from two randomized controlled studies. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2009;29(7):731-41.

38. Fass R, Johnson DA, Orr WC, et al. The effect of dexlansoprazole MR on nocturnal heartburn and GERD-related sleep disturbances in patients with symptomatic GERD. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2011;106(3):421-31.

39. Hunt RH, Armstrong D, Yaghoobi M, et al. Predictable prolonged suppression of gastric acidity with a novel proton pump inhibitor, AGN 201904-Z. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2008;28(2):187-99.

40. Hunt RH, Armstrong D, Yaghoobi M, James C. The pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics of S-tenatoprazole-Na 30 mg, 60 mg and 90 mg vs. esomeprazole 40 mg in healthy male subjects. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 2010;31(6):648-57.