NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION



New Orleans, Louisiana | December 10-13, 2022

64th American Society of Hematology (ASH) Annual Meeting and Exposition

ALPINE Head-to-head Trial, Second-generation BTK Inhibitor Shown to be More Effective and Safer in CLL

New Orleans – For the treatment of relapsed/refractory (R/R) chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CLL) and small lymphocytic lymphoma (SLL), the ALPINE trial has confirmed both an efficacy and safety advantage for this second-generation Bruton tyrosine kinase inhibitor (BTKi) over a first-generation BTKi. When compared to a first-generation BTKi that has been proven to be transformative for the control of CLL, this second-generation BTKi achieved even higher rates of response and a significantly longer progression-free survival (PFS). The specificity of this second-generation agent was also credited with a reduced risk of adverse events. Superiority for one BTKi over another for clinical efficacy has not previously been demonstrated in a head-to-head trial.

Presented as a late-breaker at the 2022 ASH annual meeting, the data from the multinational ALPINE trial, were characterized as "practice changing" by the senior author of this study, Dr. Jennifer R. Brown, Director of the CLL Center, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, Massachusetts. By improving clinical benefits while reducing the risk of off-target effects, she concluded that the ALPINE trial establishes the second-generation BTKi zanubrutinib as a dominant strategy for R/R CLL/SLL when BTKi therapy is appropriate. All predefined subgroups did better on zanubrutinib than ibrutinib, the first-generation BTKi comparator.

"I am not aware of a population [of R/R CLL/SLL] patients for which I would now consider ibrutinib over zanubrutinib," said Dr. Brown, when asked where ibrutinib might fit following the results of ALPINE.

In ALPINE, 652 patients were randomized at 113 participating centers in 15 countries to 160 mg of zanubrutinib twice daily or 420 mg of ibrutinib once daily. Prior exposure to a BTKi was a key exclusion criteria and stratification factors included age, geographic region, and factors defining high-risk disease. All patients had to have received at least one prior line of therapy and to have measurable lymphadenopathy.

Overall Response Rate (ORR) Improves in Final ALPINE Data

The primary endpoint of ALPINE was ORR, which was presented previously as an interim analysis. At 15 months of follow-up, the ORR was 78.3% among those randomized to zanubrutinib and 62.5% for ibrutinib (P<0.001). The relative advantage of zanubrutinib for ORR rates in patient subgroups with high-risk features, such as del(17p)/TP53 (83.3% vs. 53.8%) or del(11q) (83.6% vs. 69.1%), were even greater. The final late-breaker showed updated results for all patients enrolled and demonstrated an ORR with an even greater statistical advantage for zanubrutinib (86.2% vs 75.7%; P=0.0007).

With a median follow-up of 29.6 months, the median PFS has still not been reached in the zanubrutinib arm versus 35 months in the ibrutinib arm. This translates into a 35% reduction in risk of progression or death (HR 0.65; P=0.0024) in favor of zanubrutinib relative to ibrutinib. At 24 months, 79.5% of those randomized to zanubrutinib versus 67.3% of those randomized to ibrutinib remained alive and progression-free, an advantage that was about the same whether assessed by investigators or by an independent review committee (Figure 1).





64th American Society of Hematology (ASH) Annual Meeting and Exposition





Higher Risk Groups Show Even Greater Improvement

In higher risk groups, there was an even greater relative advantage for zanubrutinib. Among those with del(17p)/*TP53* mutation, for example, the PFS at 24 months in the zanubrutinib arm (77.6%) was similar to that of the overall population, whereas the ibrutinib arm (55.7%) was substantially lower. As a result, the relative protection from progression or death for zanubrutinib relative to ibrutinib in the high-risk group reached nearly 50% (HR 0.52; P=0.0134) (Figure 2). Therapy is still ongoing in 73% of patients initiated on zanubrutinib versus 58% of those randomized to ibrutinib.

Greater BTK Specificity Could Explain Favourable Safety Profile

Greater specificity for the BTK target is a likely explanation for the higher rates of response and prolonged disease control in the ALPINE trial, according to Dr. Brown, who said this relative specificity also explains the more favourable safety profile of zanubrutinib. This included a substantially lower risk of cardiotoxicity. This greater safety and tolerability are important distinctions and are likely to be a contributing factor for the greater efficacy associated with zanubrutinib.

"Discontinuations of ibrutinib due to adverse events have ranged from 16% to 23% across studies," Dr. Brown said. Blocking a major signaling system for tumor cell expansion and

Discontinuations of [the first-generation BTKi] due to adverse events have ranged from 16% to 23% across studies.

proliferation, relative BTK specificity is important to the goal of CLL/SLL control. Greater specificity means less activity on the non-BTK pathways that determine dose-limiting or intolerable off-target effects.



FIGURE 3 | ALPINE: Overall Safety and Tolerability

64th American Society of Hematology (ASH) Annual Meeting and Exposition

After a median treatment duration of 28.4 months in the zanubrutinib arm and 24.3 months in the ibrutinib arm, the proportion of patients with serious adverse events (42.0% vs. 50.0%), \geq grade 3 adverse events (67.3% vs. 70.4%), and events leading to dose reduction (12.3% vs. 17.0%) were all lower on zanubrutinib. This is consistent with the lower rate of treatment discontinuations (15.4% vs 22.2%) (Figure 3). Neutropenia occurred more commonly on zanubrutinib than ibrutinib (28.4% vs. 21.7%), but infection rates were similar.

Cardiac Adverse Events Lower on this Second-generation BTKi

Of adverse events of interest, cardiac adverse events occurred less frequently overall on zanubrutinib and relative to ibrutinib (21.3% vs. 29.6%), and those that did occur tended to be milder. This included a lower risk of serious cardiac adverse events (1.9% vs. 7.7%), cardiac events leading to treatment discontinuation (0.3% vs. 4.3%), and cardiac deaths (0% vs. 1.9%) (Figure 4). In the ibrutinib group, events leading to discontinuation included atrial fibrillation, ventricular fibrillation, cardiac failure, and cardiac arrest. A case of ventricular extrasystole was the only cardiac event leading to discontinuation of zanubrutinib.

Zanubrutinib was recently granted approval for the treatment of CLL/SLL in Europe. In Canada, zanubrutinib is currently approved for Waldenström macroglobulinemia, marginal zone lymphoma and mantle cell lymphoma. While Dr. Brown is confident that the ALPINE trial will lead to an indication across borders, she noted that some guidelines, such as those issued by the National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN), have already incorporated second-generation inhibitors into their treatment algorithms.

"Both zanubrutinib and acalabrutinib are listed among preferred treatments for CLL/SLL in the current NCCN guidelines. Ibrutinib is now an alternative agent," Dr. Brown reported.

Second-generation BTK Inhibitors Differ

Like zanubrutinib, acalabrutinib shares greater specificity on the BTK target but second-generation agents are not necessarily interchangeable. In a phase 3 multinational trial called ELEVATE-RR, which was published almost 2 years ago (Byrd J et al. *J Clin Oncol* 2021;39:3441-3452), acalabrutinib also demonstrated a safety advantage over ibrutinib in R/R CLL/SLL, but it did not provide a PFS advantage.

For safety, treatment discontinuations overall (14.7% vs. 21.3%) and discontinuations due to cardiac adverse events, particularly atrial fibrillation (0% vs. 20.0%), were lower on acalabrutinib. On the endpoint of PFS, the median duration was 38.4 months in both arms after a median 40.9 months of follow-up. Enrollment into the ELEVATE-RR trial was restricted to patients with del(17p) or del(11q) mutations, whereas ALPINE had no restrictions for risk status at entry. "It is difficult to compare drugs across trials," said Dr. Brown, but she pointed out that ALPINE also looked specifically at the subgroup with high-risk features, an analysis that was prespecified. The greater relative benefit from the second-generation BTKi in comparison to the first-generation BTKi was the hypothesised outcome from greater specificity for the BTK target even if this was not seen in ELEVATE-RR.

$\mathrm{IC}_{_{50}}$ Concentrations Not Sustained in First-generation Agent

Other than relative specificity, there are multiple potentially relevant differences between BTKis whether



FIGURE 4 | ALPINE: Cardiac Adverse Events

* One death not listed due to myocardial infarction with ibrutinib discontinuation due to diarrhea 14 days prior to the fatal event. Adapted from Brown, JR et al. As presented at ASH 2022, LBA-6. second- and first-generation agents are being compared or second-generation agents are being compared to each other. This includes pharmacokinetics and is relevant to BTK occupancy. In highlighting differences between zanubrutinib and ibrutinib, for example, Dr. Brown juxtaposed the charts of 50% inhibitory concentration (IC_{50}). For ibrutinib, the free fraction in plasma is below the IC_{50} for a substantial proportion of the day even with the higher than normal once-daily 560 mg dose. In contrast, the drug levels of zanubrutinib do not fall below IC_{50} over a 24-hour period with the 160-mg twice-daily dose or even when zanubrutinib is administered in a once-daily dose of 320 mg.

"One of the limitations of ibrutinib is this exposure coverage between dosing intervals," said Dr. Brown, who indicated that this is likely an issue for the lower efficacy of ibrutinib independent of its lower relative specificity for the BTK target.

Dr. Brown does not believe that the advantage of zanubrutinib in the ALPINE trial can be extrapolated to acalabrutinib even though both are second-generation BTKis. This relates to the discrepancy between the ALPINE and ELEVATE-RR results as well as to the potential importance of other differences between these agents, including their relative BTK specificity and pharmacokinetics. There are no large-scale head-to-head comparisons of these agents, but drug-drug interactions, rates of specific adverse events, and other clinically relevant variables have not been comparable in separate trials.

While the ALPINE trial employed zanubrutinib in twice-daily 160-mg dosing, the pharmacokinetic data support a once-daily 320-mg regimen for those who prefer a simpler schedule, particularly when compliance might be an issue. Zanubrutinib is also associated with a low relative risk of certain types of drug-drug interactions, such as those with proton pump inhibitors or anticoagulants, that complicate treatment with some other BTK inhibitors. While the ALPINE trial provides reassuring safety data over the course of follow-up, the safety and tolerability profile has previously been established with experience in the B cell lymphomas for which it is already indicated.

Front-line Efficacy of Second-generation BTK Inhibitor Shown

In Europe, zanubrutinib received indications in front-line as well as R/R CLL/SLL on the basis of the phase 3 SEQUOIA trial. This multinational trial, published about 6 months ago (Tam CS et al. *Lancet Oncology* 20-22;8:1031-1043), enrolled 590 patients with CLL without del(17p) and were randomized to zanubrutinib or a standard-of-care regimen of bendamustine plus rituximab. After a median follow-up of 26.2 months, zanubrutinib was associated with a 58% reduction in the risk of progression or death (HR 0.42; *P*<0.0001). Zanubrutinib was also better tolerated with a lower rate of serious adverse events (37% vs 50%).

Conclusion

For the first time, superior PFS in a head-to-head trial between a first-generation and second-generation BTK inhibitor has been demonstrated. The safety and activity previously reported from the interim analysis and now supported by the final results of the ALPINE trial, reinforce a clinically meaningful benefit-to-risk ratio advantage of the second-generation inhibitor zanubrutinib for the treatment of R/R CLL/SLL. Relative to ibrutinib, zanubrutinib improves rates of response and extends PFS with a lower risk of adverse events, particularly serious cardiac events. The ALPINE study provides further evidence of the safety advantage of increased BTK specificity over the first-generation ibrutinib.

NOT FOR DISTRIBUTION

The information and opinions expressed herein are those of the participants and do not necessarily reflect those of Xfacto Communications Inc. or the sponsor. The distribution of this meeting report was made possible through industry support under written agreement that ensures editorial independence. The content is for educational purposes and should not be taken as an endorsement of any products, uses or doses. Physicians should consult the appropriate monograph before prescribing any drugs. Distribution, reproduction, alteration of this program is strictly prohibited without written consent of Xfacto Communications Inc. Copyright 2022. All rights reserved. The Medical Xchange[®]